1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO 2 3 4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 5 Plaintiff 6 CRIMINAL 06-0336 (GAG) v. 7 [2] CHRISTIAN LÓPEZ-LEBRÓN, 8 9 Defendant 10 11 MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION RE: RULE 11(c)(1)(A) & (B) PROCEEDINGS (PLEA OF GUILTY) 12 13 Personal Background 14 On November 8, 2006, Christian López-Lebrón, the defendant herein, was 15 16 charged in eight counts of a thirteen-count indictment. He agrees to plead guilty to 17 counts five, six and seven of the indictment. 18 Count five charges that on or about September 26, 2006, in the District of 19 Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this court, defendant and a co-defendant 20 aiding and abetting each other, did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent 21 22 to distribute approximately one kilogram or more of heroin, a Schedule I, Narcotic 23 Drug Controlled Substance, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2. 24 Count six charges that on or about September 26, 2006, in the District of 25 Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this court, defendant and a co-defendant 26 27 aiding and abetting each other, did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent 28

2

3

5

1

2

to distribute 500 grams or more of cocaine, a Schedule II, Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

24

25

26

27

28

Count seven charges that on or about September 26, 2006, in the District of Puerto Rico and elsewhere and within the jurisdiction of this court, the defendant and co-defendant aiding and abetting each other, did knowingly possess firearms, as defined by 18 U.S.C. \S 921(a)(3), and ammunition to wit: (1) an AK-47 rifle, make Norinco, model MAC 90, caliber 7.62x39; (2) an AK-47 rifle, make Norinco, model MAC 90 Sporter; (3) a rifle, make Marlin, caliber .45 (the afore-described firearms with obliterated serial numbers); (4) a loaded pistol, make Smith & Wesson, model 4006 TSW, serial number VJB5410, caliber .40; (5) a loaded pistol, make Taurus, model PT92AFS, serial number TNC60709, caliber 9MM; (6) a pistol, make Beretta, model 92FS, serial number BER074399Z, caliber 9MM; (7) a loaded pistol, make Smith & Wesson, model 6906, serial number TFN3184, caliber 9MM; (8) a pistol, make Glock, model 23C, serial number DLV044US, caliber .40; (9) a pistol, make Glock, model 22, serial number DXC061US, caliber .40, all in furtherance of the commission of a drug trafficking crime, as charged in counts one, two, five and six of this indictment, punishable under the Controlled Substances Act, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 846 and 841(a)(1), involving conspiracy and possession with intent to distribute controlled substances as articulated above, as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(2), which may be prosecuted in a court of the United States. All in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c)(1)(A)(i) and 2.

The United States and the defendant agree that the total weight of drugs seized in connection with counts five and six totaled 534 grams of cocaine and 385.9 grams of heroin.

Defendant filed a motion for change of plea on September 14, 2007.

II. Consent to Proceed Before a Magistrate Judge

On September 25, 2007, while assisted by María H. Sandoval, Esq., the defendant, by consent, appeared before me in order to change his previous not guilty plea to a plea of guilty as to counts five, six and seven of the indictment.

In open court the defendant was questioned as to the purpose of the hearing being held. The defendant responded that the purpose of the hearing was to plead guilty. The defendant was advised of his right to have all proceedings, including the change of plea hearing, before a United States district judge. Defendant was given notice of: (a) the nature and purpose of the hearing; (b) the fact that all inquiries were to be conducted under oath and that it was expected that his answers would be truthful (he was also explained that the consequences of lying under oath could lead to a perjury charge); and (c) his right to have the change of plea proceedings presided over by a district judge instead of a magistrate judge. The defendant was also explained the differences between the appointment and functions of the two. The defendant consent to proceed before this magistrate judge.

- III. Proceedings Under Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure
 - A. Compliance With Requirements Rule 11(c)(1)

Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure governs the acceptance of guilty pleas to federal criminal violations. Pursuant to Rule 11, in order for a plea of guilty to constitute a valid waiver of the defendant's right to trial, guilty pleas must be knowing and voluntary: "Rule 11 was intended to ensure that a defendant who pleads guilty does so with an 'understanding of the nature of the charge and consequences of his plea.'" <u>United States v. Cotal-Crespo</u>, 47 F.3d 1, 4 (1st Cir. 1995) (quoting McCarthy v. United States, 394 U.S. 459, 467 (1969)). [There are three core concerns in these proceedings]: 1) absence of coercion; 2) understanding of the charges; and 3) knowledge of the consequences of the guilty plea. <u>United States v. Cotal-Crespo</u>, 47 F.3d at 4 (citing <u>United States v. Allard</u>, 926 F.2d 1237, 1244-45 (1st Cir. 1991)).

<u>United States v. Hernández-Wilson</u>, 186 F.3d 1, 5 (1st Cir. 1999).

In response to further questioning, defendant was explained and he understood that if convicted on count six, based on the amount of narcotics stipulated between the parties, he may be sentenced to a minimum term of imprisonment of five years and a maximum of 40 years, a fine not to exceed \$2,000,000, and a term of supervised release of at least four years.

As to count five, defendant was explained and he understood that if convicted on count five, based on the amount of narcotics stipulated between the parties, he may be sentenced to a minimum term of imprisonment of five years and a maximum of 40 years, a fine not to exceed \$2,000,000, and a term of supervised release of at least four years.

As to count seven, defendant was explained and he understood that if convicted on count seven, he may be sentenced to a minimum term of imprisonment of 60 months and a maximum of life imprisonment, a fine not to exceed \$250,000,

and a term of supervised release of at least five years. This count must be served consecutively in relation to any other count.

Defendant shall pay a special monetary assessment of \$100, per offense of conviction, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3013(a).

The defendant agrees that any fine or restitution imposed by the court will be due and payable immediately. The defendant cannot be placed on probation nor have imposition of the sentence suspended. Further, the defendant acknowledges that parole has been abolished.

The defendant is aware that the court may order him to pay a fine sufficient to reimburse the government for the costs of any imprisonment and/or supervised release ordered.

Defendant was advised that the ultimate sentence was a matter solely for the court to decide in its discretion and that, even if the maximum imprisonment term and fine were to be imposed upon him, he later could not withdraw his guilty plea

if he was unhappy with the sentence of the court. The defendant understood this.

Defendant was explained what the supervised release term means. It was emphasized that cooperation with the United States Probation officer would assist

the court in reaching a fair sentence.

Emphasis was made on the fact that at this stage, no prediction or promises as to the sentence to be imposed could be made by anyone. Defendant responded to

questions in that no promises, threats, inducements or predictions as to what sentence will be imposed have been made to him.

B. Admonishment of Constitutional Rights

To assure defendant's understanding and awareness of his rights, defendant was advised of his right:

1. To remain silent at trial and be presumed innocent, since it is the government who has the burden of proving his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

2. To testify or not to testify at trial, and that no comment could be made by the prosecution in relation to his decision not to testify.

3. To a speedy trial before a district judge and a jury, at which he would be entitled to see and cross examine the government witnesses, present evidence on his

behalf, and challenge the government's evidence.

4. To have a unanimous verdict rendered by a jury of twelve persons which would have to be convinced of defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt by means

5. To use the subpoena power of the court to compel the attendance of

of competent evidence.

witnesses.

rights.

Upon listening to the defendant's responses, observing his demeanor and his speaking with his attorney, that to the best of counsel's belief defendant had fully understood his rights, it is determined that defendant is aware of his constitutional

C. Consequences of Pleading Guilty

Upon advising defendant of his constitutional rights, he was further advised of the consequences of pleading guilty. Specifically, defendant was advised that by pleading guilty and upon having his guilty plea accepted by the court, he will be

giving up the above rights and would be convicted solely on his statement that he is

guilty.

Furthermore, the defendant was admonished of the fact that by pleading guilty he would not be allowed later on to withdraw his plea because he eventually might disagree with the sentence imposed, and that when he were under supervised release, and upon violating the conditions of such release, that privilege could be revoked and he could be required to serve an additional term of imprisonment. He was also explained that parole has been abolished.

D. Plea Agreement

The parties have entered into a written plea agreement that, upon being signed by the government, defense attorney and defendant, was filed and made part of the record. Defendant was clearly warned and recognized having understood that:

- 1. The plea agreement is not binding upon the sentencing court.
- 2. The plea agreement is an "agreement" between the defendant, defense attorney and the attorney for the government which is presented as a recommendation to the court in regards to the applicable sentencing adjustments and guidelines, which are advisory.

- 3. The agreement provides a sentencing recommendation and/or anticipated sentencing guideline computation, that can be either accepted or rejected by the sentencing court.
- 4. In spite of the plea agreement and any sentencing recommendation contained therein, the sentencing court retains full discretion to reject such plea agreement and impose any sentence up to the possible maximum penalty prescribed by statute.
- 5. The defendant understands that if the court accepts this agreement and sentences defendant according to its terms and conditions, defendant waives and surrenders his right to appeal the conviction and sentence in this case.

Defendant acknowledged having understood this explanation.

E. Government's Evidence (Basis in Fact)

The government presented a proffer of its evidence with which the defendant basically concurred.

Accordingly, it is determined that there is a basis in fact and evidence to establish all elements of the offense charged.

F. Voluntariness

The defendant accepted that no leniency had been promised, no threats had been made to induce him to plead guilty and that he did not feel pressured to plead guilty. He came to the hearing for the purpose of pleading guilty and listened

attentively as the prosecutor outlined the facts which it would prove if the case had proceeded to trial.

IV. Conclusion

The defendant, by consent, has appeared before me pursuant to Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and has entered a plea of guilty as to counts five, six and seven of the indictment.

After cautioning and examining the defendant under oath and in open court, concerning each of the subject matters mentioned in Rule 11, as described in the preceding sections, I find that the defendant Cristian López-Lebrón is competent to enter this guilty plea, is aware of the nature of the offense charged and the maximum statutory penalties that the same carries, understands that the charge is supported by the government's evidence, has admitted to every element of the offense charged, and has done so in an intelligent and voluntary manner with full knowledge of the consequences of his guilty plea.

Therefore, I recommend that the court accept the guilty plea of the defendant and that the defendant be adjudged guilty as to counts five, six and seven of the indictment. At sentencing, the government will move the court to dismiss the remaining counts of the indictment.

This report and recommendation is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Rule 72(d) of the Local Rules of Court. Any objections to the same must be specific and must be filed with the Clerk of Court within five (5) days of its receipt.

CRIMINAL 06-0336 (GAG) Rule 510.1, Local Rules of Court; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Failure to timely file specific objections to the report and recommendation is a waiver of the right to review by the district court. <u>United States v. Valencia-Copete</u>, 792 F.2d 4 (1st Cir. 1986). At San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 1st day of October, 2007. S/ JUSTO ARENAS Chief United States Magistrate Judge